In re: Park et al.

Serial No.: 10/779,440 Filed: February 13, 2004

Page 9

## REMARKS

This Response to Restriction Requirement is responsive to the Office Action mailed June 6, 2005 (hereinafter "Office Action"). Restriction is requested between one of two groups. As alleged in the Office Action, Group I (Claims 1-25) is "drawn to a semiconductor device," while Group II (Claims 26-51) is "drawn to process of making a semiconductor device." Office Action, p. 2. Applicants elect Group I, Claims 1-25 without traverse. Claims 26-51 have been canceled without prejudice towards pursuing these claims in a divisional application.

Applicants respectfully submit that this application is in condition for substantive examination of Claims 1-25, which action is respectfully requested. Any questions that the Examiner may have regarding this correspondence can be directed to the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted

Robert Mr. Meeks

Registration No. 40,723 Attorney for Applicant(s)

**USPTO Customer No. 20792** 

Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec Post Office Box 37428

Raleigh, North Carolina 27627

Telephone: 919/854-1400 Facsimile: 919/854-1401

## **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on July 5, 2005.

Candi L. Riggs